UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,297	08/26/2003	Hyun Huh	47881-000003/US	2580
	7590 05/28/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.I	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8910)	VO, HAI		
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/647,297	HUH ET AL.				
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hai Vo	1794				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Hai Vo</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Erin G. Hoffman</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 16 May 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark> applicant's representati</mark> ve	<u>:</u>]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 13</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Molnar et al (US 6,267,644).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. €	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>It is suggested by the examiner that incorporation the polishing layer consisting of a hydrophilic polymeric matrix and liquid non-water soluble microelements and limitations from claim 13 into claim 1 may remove Molnar as prior art. However, there is no agreement on allowance at this time.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Hai Vo/ Hai Vo Primary Examiner, Art Unit 17 Examiner's signature, if requi					